

WRPA Conference Session Proposal

Scoring Rubric

Quality of Submission

- 5 (High):** Exceptionally well-written, engaging, and organized. Fully follows all guidelines and presents a compelling case for the session.
- 4:** Clearly written and organized; minor issues in formatting or detail.
- 3:** Understandable but could be more engaging, organized, or polished.
- 2:** Difficult to follow, with multiple issues in clarity, grammar, or structure.
- 1 (Low):** Unclear or poorly written, does not follow guidelines.

Learning Objectives

- 5 (High):** Objectives are clear, specific, and directly align with the session content.
- 4:** Objectives are mostly clear and relevant.
- 3:** Objectives are vague or somewhat disconnected from the session description.
- 2:** Objectives are unclear or generic.
- 1 (Low):** Objectives are missing or do not relate to the session.

Originality & Relevance

- 5 (High):** Topic is highly relevant and innovative; fills a clear need in the field.
- 4:** Relevant and timely topic with some original aspects.
- 3:** Topic is common but still applicable.
- 2:** Topic lacks freshness or clear relevance.
- 1 (Low):** Topic is outdated, redundant, or unclear.

Speaker Diversity

- 5 (High):** Speakers reflect a strong mix of experience, background, and/or perspective.
- 4:** Some diversity is present in speaker background or experience.
- 3:** Speakers are qualified but lack notable diversity.
- 2:** Speaker information is limited or lacks range.
- 1 (Low):** No diversity is evident, or speaker information is missing.

Inclusion & Equity Focus

- 5 (High):** Session strongly incorporates equity/inclusion principles with specific strategies or tools.
- 4:** Inclusion is addressed and reasonably integrated into the session.
- 3:** Inclusion is mentioned but not well developed.
- 2:** Minimal or unclear reference to inclusion/equity.
- 1 (Low):** No reference to inclusion, or content excludes consideration of diverse needs.